Our Case No. 10790/4

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
Curt Conklin, et al.)
Serial No. 09/896,760) Examiner: Poinvil, Frantzy
Filing Date: June 29, 2001) Group Art Unit No. 3692) Confirmation No. 2007
For METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR ENHANCED DISTRIBUTION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS	

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Communication dated August 1, 2007, please enter the following Applicant's Interview Summary.

On July 17, 2007, a telephonic interview was had between he undersigned attorney and the Examiner. The following points were made to the Examiner:

Both the present invention and the Hambrecht reference related to selling of securities or financial instruments. However, the present invention uses <u>market data</u> to set a price for the financial instrument. Hambrecht instead uses an auction among subscribers to set the price. Hambrecht relates to an Initial Public Offering of a security, which is a one-time offering of the security. There is no previous transaction involving the security to use as a basis for modeling the transaction. Because of this distinction, it was submitted that Hambrecht could not anticipate the claimed invention.

Application no. 09/896,760 Interview Summary dated: September 4, 2007 Reply to Communication dated: August 1, 2007

Hambrecht was the only reference discussed. There was no agreement reached on the allowability of the claims.

With this response, the application is believed to be in condition for further action on the merits. Should the examiner deem a telephone conference to be of assistance in advancing the application to allowance, the examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the telephone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

John G. Rauch

Registration No. 37,218 Attorney for Applicant

September 4, 2007 BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610 (312) 321-4200